

•

Planning

Reclassification of 9 Havilah Lane, Lindfield				
Proposal Title :	Reclassification	of 9 Havilah La	ane, Lindfield	
Proposal Summa	ary : The planning pro operational land.		o reclassify 9 Havilah Lan	e, Lindfield, from community land to
PP Number : PP_2012_KURIN_004_00		Dop File No :	12/10406	
Proposal Details			. <b>.</b>	
Date Planning Proposal Receiv	21-Jun-2012 ed :		LGA covered :	Ku-Ring-Gai
Region :	Sydney Region W	Sydney Region West		Ku-ring-gai Council
State Electorate	: DAVIDSON			55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Reclassification			
Location Details	5			
Street :	9 Havilah Lane			
Suburb :	Lindfield	City :	Ku-ring-gai	Postcode : 2070
Land Parcel :	Lot 21 in DP 713207			
Street :				
Suburb :		City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel :	The site has an area of	f 766m2, and c	urrently operates as a Co	uncil carpark.
DoP Planning	Officer Contact Deta	ils		
Contact Name :	Sandy Shewell			
Contact Number	: 0298601555			
Contact Email :	sandy.shewell@pl	anning.nsw.go	ov.au	
RPA Contact D	Details			
Contact Name :	Kate Paterson			
Contact Number	0294240785			
Contact Email :	kpaterson@kmc.n	sw.gov.au		
DoP Project M	anager Contact Deta	uils		
Contact Name :				
Contact Number	':			
Contact Email :				

•

# Reclassification of 9 Havilah Lane, Lindfield

# Land Release Data

Lanu Release Dala				
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :		
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :		
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0	
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0	
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes			
If No, comment :	CODE OF CONDUCT At this point in time, to the best of is compliant with the Department relation to communications and m	of Planning and Infrastructure		
	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLOSURE STATEMENT The political donation disclosure laws commenced on 1 October 2008. The legislation requires public disclosure of donations or gifts for certain circumstances relating to the planning system.			
	The disclosure requirements unde relevant planning applications and			
	The term relevant planning applica "A formal request to the Minister, a an environmental planning instrur	a council or the Director Gen	eral to initiate the making of	
	Planning Circular PS08-009 specif Minister or Director General is req any).		•	
	No disclosures were provided for	this planning proposal.		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο			
If Yes, comment :				
Supporting notes				
	The planning proposal will enable reclassifying the site to operationa		se of a surplus site, by	
External Supporting Notes :	BACKGROUND			
	Ku-ring-gai Council has identified owned by Council, as surplus. The			

The sale of the subject site will provide funds to Council's Infrastructure and Facilities Reserve which funds infrastructure projects throughout the local government area, including development of the Lindfield Town Centre.

# Adequacy Assessment

# Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

# Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The explanation is generally fine, however, this part should be clearer in terms of the proposed discharge of all interests, trusts etc from this site. While this is discussed elsewhere in the planning proposal (eg. the introduction), the discharge of interests is considered to be a key objective of this proposal, and as such should be made clear up front in the body of the planning proposal.

As such, Part 2 should be revised to include words relating to the proposed discharge of any trusts, estates, interests, dedications, conditions or restrictions and covenants affecting the land or any part of the land.

# Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 3.4 integrating Land Use
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?	SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005
	SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009

## SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

1.1 BUSINESS AND INDUSTRIAL ZONES

Ku-ring-gai Council has identified that the planning proposal is consistent with this Direction in that it will not reduce the total potential floor space area for employment uses and related public services in business zones. It is proposed to zone the subject site B2 Local Centre under the draft Ku-ring-gai Local Environmental Plan (Local Centres) 2012. The draft Local Centres LEP was publicly exhibited from 21 May 2012 to 18 June 2012. The reclassification to operational will allow for the site to be redeveloped for commercial or mixed uses purposes increasing the total potential floor space area for employment uses and related public services in business zones.

## 2.1 ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION ZONES

The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with this Direction as it will not reduce the existing and proposed environmental protection standards that will apply to

#### the land.

#### 3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT

This planning proposal does not allow the land to be used for a higher urban purpose (eg. commercial development) - it only provides the potential for this use. The new controls proposed for this site are contained within the draft Local Centres LEP which was exhibited recently. State Transport authorities were consulted as part of this process. As such the planning proposal is considered consistent with this Direction.

#### 4.1 ACID SULFATE SOILS

The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction as the site has not been identified as being located in an area where there is a known occurrence of acid sulfate soils.

#### **4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND**

The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction as the subject land has not been identifed as being constrained by flooding issues. However, it is noted that the site is burdened by an easement for drainage and sewerage along the northern boundary in favour of the adjoining land (Lot 1 in DP 502955).

Council has recently exhibited a planning proposal to introduce biodiversity and riparian provisions into the Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance. Ku-ring-gai Council is also addressing the issues of biodiversity and riparian constraints as part of its Standard Instrument Local Environmental Plan process. The resulting provisions will also apply to this planning proposal and will become matters for consideration in the future development of these sites.

#### 6.1 APPROVAL AND REFERRAL REQUIREMENTS

The planning proposal is consistent with this Direction as it does not include provisions that require the concurrence, consultation or referral of future development applications to a Minister or Public Authority or identify development as designated development.

#### 6.2 RESERVING LAND FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES

The planning proposal is considered consistent with this Direction as the site is neither zoned nor reserved for a public purpose.

#### **6.3 SITE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS**

The objective of this s117 Direction is to discourage unnecessarily restrictive site specific planning controls. The planning proposal will not impose any new development standards.

#### 7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METRO STRATEGY

It is considered that the planning proposal is consistent with this Direction in that the future proposed development of the site for mixed use purposes will contribute to meeting the commercial and residential housing targets in the Metro Strategy.

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

#### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

Council has proposed to consult the community during the preparation of this planning proposal, in accordance with the requirements specified in the Department's Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans and section 57 of the Environmental Planning and

#### Assessment Act 1979.

Council's proposes a 28 day public exhibition. As the proposal would reclassify public land, this is supported.

# **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

# Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

## Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date : February 2013

Comments in relationIt is anticipated that the Principal LEP will be forwarded to the Department of Planning andto Principal LEP :Infrastructure post public exhibition towards the end of December 2012.

# **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :	The land to which the planning proposal relates is currently zoned 3(a)-(A2) Business-Retail Services under the Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance 1971 and operates as a carpark. Council proposes to zone the site B2 Local Centre via the draft Ku-ring-gai Local Centres LEP which was publicly exhibited between 21 May 2012 and 18 June 2012.
	The planning proposal will enable the subject site to cease to be a public carpark and the land to be discharged from any trusts, estates, interests, dedications, conditions or restrictions and covenants affecting the land or any part of the land.
	It is considered appropriate for the subject site to be reclassified to enable the site to be developed or used in an orderly and economic manner as determined by Council's strategic planning investigations.
	The property is surplus to local government uses. Because the land is classified as community land, an amendment to the KPSO is needed to reclassify the land to operational to enable the sale of the land.
	The subject site is affected by the draft Ku-ring-gai Local Environmental Plan (Local Centres) 2012. If the Local Centres LEP is gazetted prior to this planning proosal, this planning proposal will amend the Local Centres LEP (rather than the KPSO).
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is generally consistent with the principles of both the Metropolitan Strategy and the draft North Subregional Strategy, as well as Council's Community Strategic Plan, the Ku-ring-gai Sustainability Vision 2008-2033 and Plans of Management for Ku-ring-gai's Parks.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL This site has been not been identified as an area of biodiversity significance nor as containing riparian corridors nor as being bushfire prone.
	Council has recently exhibited a planning proposal to introduce biodiversity and riparian overlays along with associated provisions into the Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance. These provisions have since been incorporated into the draft Ku-ring-gai Local Environmental Plan 2012 which has recently been approved for exhibition by the Minister's

	delegate (ie. a gatew	vay determination has been issued).			
	These provisions will also apply to the subject site and will become matters for consideration in any future development of the site. Council has advised that investigation is required at development application stage in relation to threatened species.				
	ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL The future disposal of the sites will allow additional funds to be directed towards the revitalisation of the Lindfield centre and the planning and delivery of new and improved facilities and services consistent with Ku-ring-gai Contributions Plan 2010 and Ku-ring-gai Public Domain Plan 2012.				
	The reclassification	of the site would facilitate its potential t	uture development.		
	Additionally, the dis	posal of the sites will reduce ongoing n	nanagement costs.		
Assessment Proces	S				
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days		
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 Month	Delegation :	DG		
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Transport for NSW - Transport for NSW -	RailCorp Roads and Maritime Services			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	o) : <b>No</b>				
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons	s :				
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :				
No internal consultation	n required				
is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastructu	re relevant to this plan? No			
f Yes, reasons :					
uments		ан наме - селана и на - со силина на на на - бо - д - с - с сили чурко - лизион селан.	<u>an an a</u>		
Document File Name		DocumentType Na	me Is Public		
9 Havilah Lane Cover_letter.pdf		Proposal Covering	-		
9 Havilah Lane, Lindfield_Planning_Proposal_June_2012.pdf		Proposal	Yes		
Lindneid_Planning Pro	posai_june_zoiz.pui				

,

9 Havilah Lane, Lindfield	1 Appendix	Proposal	Yes
1_Report_to_Council_3_	_April_2012.pdf	- 55	×.
	ld_Appendix_2_Report_to_Coun	Proposal	Yes
cil_24_April_2012.pdf 9_Havilah_Lane_Lindfie	ld draft instrument.pdf	Proposal	Yes
9_Havilah_Lane_Lindfie		Мар	Yes
lanning Team Recomn	nendation		
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage : R	ecommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones		
0.117 directions.	2.1 Environment Protection Zones		
	3.4 Integrating Land Use and Trans	port	
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils		
	4.3 Flood Prone Land 6.1 Approval and Referral Requiren	nonts	
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purp		
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions		
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropol	itan Plan for Sydney 2036	
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed, subject to the following conditions:		
	1. The planning proposal be public	ly exhibited for 28 days;	
	2. Council revise Part 2 - Explanation that the proposal, when finalised, w conditions or restrictions and cover	vill discharge any trusts, estates	, interests, dedications,
	3. Council consult with the followir	ng agencies:-	
	Transport for NSW - RailCorp	0.0	
	Transport for NSW - Roads and Ma	ritime Services	
	This consultation can occur concu	rrently with the public exhibition	of the proposal.
	4. The timeframe for the making of the gateway determination.		s .
Supporting Reasons :	Ku-ring-gai Council has identified to planning proposal will enable Count the sale of the sites to contribute to Lindfield town centre.	ncil to sell the sites and use the	funds generated from
Signature	1 de M		
Signature:	- VOR-		
	TA I	22/1	1.0
Printed Name:	lim Archer D	Date: 29/6/	12

w.

.

•

.

.